

Link Budgets

Link Budgets

A link budget is the most important tool for system-level design of wireless systems. Link budgets are used in the development of new wireless systems as well as when installing a specific wireless link.

A link budget is a “budget” similar to an accountant’s budget but instead of adding profits and losses it adds signal power gains and losses as the signal travels through the different portions of the communication system. The link budget has to demonstrate that the system will provide satisfactory performance. The performance metric will depend on the type of system and could include SNR (analog satellite TV), BER or PER (a digital point-to-point link), or outage probability (a cellular phone system).

The link budget includes all gains (and losses) from baseband input to baseband output. The quantities in a link budget are expressed in dB since it is more convenient to add and subtract than multiply and divided.

Gains/Losses Included

The following are the quantities included in most link budgets:

- transmitter output power
- transmit antenna gain
- path loss
- receive antenna gain
- receiver noise power
- link margin

Depending on the type of wireless system, other factors such as interference, may need to be taken into account and these may involve other calculations.

When a wireless communication system is designed many design options will need to be considered (antennas, transmit powers, data rates, ...) each of which affects one or more of the above gains and losses.

The difference between the required SIR/SNR and what the link budget predicts is the “margin”.

Exercise 1: Which of the quantities above will be in dBm and which will be in dB?

The objective of the system design phase is to “close the link” (end up with a positive margin) with the lowest (dollar) cost.

Link budgets are usually prepared with a spreadsheet so that various system design options can be easily explored.

The basic link budget shown above is seldom sufficiently detailed to capture all important design parameters of a real system.

Bi-directional systems usually need different link budgets for the two directions (forward and reverse or downlink and uplink).

Many systems can operate at various data rates, modulation types, antennas or propagation environments. The link budget will have to include the calculations for each of these options, resulting in fairly complex spreadsheets.

Some values may be random and may only be known in terms of their statistics (e.g. mean path loss and shadowing standard deviation). In this case the mean value plus an additional value for the desired probability is used to achieve a performance criteria that is also defined in terms of its statistics. For example, the performance criteria could be that the BER will be less than 10^{-2} over 90% of the service area.

Examples of Typical Link Budget Elements

- transmitter output power: cost will be affected by transmitter output power and power amplifier efficiency. Battery consumption may limit the maximum output power and regulatory or safety considerations may limit the EIRP.
- transmitter feedline losses: low-loss cable or waveguides are more expensive
- transmit antenna gain: higher-gain antennas are more expensive and may not be practical for portable or mobile users

- path loss: this may be fixed (e.g. a geostationary satellite) or may be a system design parameter (e.g. cell size). For free-space systems we can use the Friis equation, for land-mobile applications we can use statistical models such as Hata-Okumura.
- other propagation losses: these effects may need to be considered in some applications
 - log-normal shadowing (land-mobile)
 - diffraction losses (obstructed paths)
 - atmospheric absorption (at high frequencies)
 - outdoor-to-indoor loss (base outside, user indoor or vice-versa)
- receiver antenna gain: the same gain/cost considerations as with transmitter antenna. In some cases using a higher-gain receiving antenna will also reduce interference.
- receiver noise figure: lower noise figure is more expensive. Many systems are interference limited (the performance is limited by the interference power which is much greater than the thermal noise power) so this may not be an issue. For other systems, using a low noise figure LNA (low noise amplifier) is the lowest-cost way of closing the link and the cost of the LNA may be a significant part of the system cost.
- receiver noise bandwidth: this will depend on the signal bandwidth which in turn depends on the data rate and modulation scheme
- coding gains: a system using FEC may include coding gain in the link budget
- implementation losses: some link budgets include an implementation loss to account for distortion, intermodulation, phase noise and other degradation introduced by real receivers and transmitters

Sample Link Budget (Geostationary TV Broadcast)

Increasing the transmit power or antenna gain (on the satellite) is relatively expensive, so the receiving

antenna has more gain and low-noise figure LNAs are used instead of higher transmitter power.

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------|---------------|
| a | transmitter power output | 43 | dBm (20 W) |
| b | transmit antenna gain | 20 | dB |
| c | frequency | 4 | GHZ |
| e | wavelength | 7.5 | cm |
| f | path distance | 42,164 | km |
| g | free-space path loss | 197 | dB |
| h | receiver antenna gain | 45 | dB |
| i | feedline loss | 1 | dB |
| j | received signal power | -90 | dBm |
| k | kT | -174 | (dBm/Hz) |
| l | receiver noise bandwidth | 67 | dB-Hz (5 MHz) |
| m | receiver noise figure | 1 | dB |
| n | received noise power | -106 | dBm |
| m | IF SNR | 16 | dB |

Exercise 2: Classify the likely origin for each of the values. For example, a physical constant, a system specification, a value chosen by the system designer or a value computed from other lines. Write the equation for each of the computed values in terms of the values of other lines.

Sample Link Budget (Cellular System)

The following page shows a link budget taken from the GSM specification. In this case there are separate link budgets for forward (base [transceiver] station (BTS) to mobile station (MS)) and reverse links. Other abbreviations are probability of service at the cell edge (P_s) and TU50 (median (50th percentile) path loss for a typical urban (TU) channel), and E_c/N_0 (SNR). The channel bandwidth is 271kHz (54.3 dB-Hz).

This link budget is organized to separately compute the required received signal power (including margins) and the transmitted power. From these the maximum path loss and cell radius are derived.

The end result of this particular link budget is the maximum cell radius for a certain fraction of coverage since the other parameters are considered to be fixed. The cell radius is of interest to system operators because it is a factor that determines the cost of deploying a particular technology.

The GSM system was designed to be interference-limited in small cells and noise limited in large cells and a 3 dB interference degradation margin is included in this particular example.

Annex A.4: Example of RF-budget for GSM 900 Class4 (peak power 2 W) in a small cell

| Propagation over land in urban and rural areas | | | | |
|--|-----------------|------|------|-----------------------|
| Receiving end: | | BTS | MS | Eq. |
| TX: | | MS | BTS | (dB) |
| Noise figure(multicoupl.input) | dB | 8 | 10 | A |
| Multipath profile | | TU50 | TU50 | |
| Ec/No min. fading | dB | 8 | 8 | B |
| RX RF-input sensitivity | dBm | -104 | -102 | C=A+B+W-174 |
| Interference degrad. margin | dB | 3 | 3 | D (W=54.3 dBHz) |
| Cable loss + connector | dB | 2 | 0 | E |
| RX-antenna gain | dB _i | 16 | 0 | F |
| Diversity gain | dB | 3 | 0 | F1 |
| Isotropic power, 50 % Ps | dBm | -118 | -99 | G=C+D+E-F-F1 |
| Lognormal margin 50 % ->75 % Ps | dB | 5 | 5 | H |
| Isotropic power, 75 % Ps | dBm | -113 | -94 | I=G+H |
| Field Strength 75 % Ps | | 24 | 43 | J=I+137 at 900 MHz |
| Transmitting end: | | MS | BTS | Eq. |
| RX: | | BTS | MS | (dB) |
| TX PA output peak power | W | - | 12.6 | |
| (mean power over burst) | dBm | - | 41 | K |
| Isolator + combiner + filter | dB | - | 3 | L |
| RF Peak power,(ant.connector) | dBm | 33 | 38 | M=K-L |
| 1) | W | 2 | 6.3 | |
| Cable loss + connector | dB | 0 | 2 | N |
| TX-antenna gain | dB _i | 0 | 16 | O |
| Peak EIRP | W | 2 | 158 | |
| | dBm | 33 | 52 | P=M-N+O |
| Isotropic path loss,50 % Ps 2) | dB | 142 | 142 | Q=P-G-9 |
| Isotropic path loss, 75 % Ps | dB | 137 | 137 | R=P-I-9 |
| Range km - 75 % Ps | | | | |
| Urban, out of doors | | 1.3 | | |
| Urban, indoors | | 0.52 | | |

1) The MS peak power is defined as:

- a) If the radio has an antenna connector, it shall be measured into a 50 Ohm resistive load.
- b) If the radio has an integral antenna, a reference antenna with 0 dB_i gain shall be assumed.

2) 9 dB of the path loss is assumed to be due to the handheld MEG (-antenna/body loss) of -9 dB_i.