Computer Assisted Reading of Chest Radiographs

Nandinee Fariah Haq, <u>Z. Jane Wang</u> Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

Outline

- Motivation
- Dataset
- Method
 - Framework to classify common thoracic diseases
 - □ Framework to retrieve similar images for diagnosis
- Results
- Conclusion

Motivation

3

- Radiographs or X-ray images are a common diagnostic tool to identify different thoracic diseases and other abnormal cardiopulmonary conditions
- With the increasing amount of radiology examinations ordered, there is increase in radiologists' workloads resulting in an increase in the total radiology turnaround time
- A computer-assisted system to analyse radiographs for primary screening and retrieve similar images for diagnosis have the potential to accelerate the radiologists' workflow and thereby improving the overall quality of healthcare

Dataset

- 223,648 chest X-ray images from 64,740 patients
 - □ 191,229 frontal chest X-rays
 - □ 32,419 lateral X-rays.
 - □ 35,917 male
 - □ 28,822 female
- Disease labels generated from associated radiology reports using automatic rule-based labeler



Atelectasis

Cardiomegaly

4



Consolidation

Edema



Lung Opacity

Pneumonia



Pneumothorax Pleural Effusion

Dataset



Table 1: Number of cases from different disease types with positive, negative and uncertain labels.

Disease label	Positive	Negative	Uncertain
Atelectasis	33,456	156,453	33,739
Cardiomegaly	27,068	188,493	8,087
Consolidation	14,816	181,090	27,742
Edema	52,291	158,373	12,984
Lung Opacity	105,707	112,343	5,598
Pneumonia	6,047	198,831	18,770
Pneumothorax	19,456	201,047	3,145
Pleural Effusion	86,254	125,766	11,628





Lung Opacity

Pneumonia



Pneumothorax Pleural Effusion

Deep Network Architechture



6

Training

Dataset is multilabel

sigmoid function as the activation function

Dataset has uncertain labels

 All samples included in training ignoring uncertain labels while updating the gradient

Dataset is highly-imbalanced

Weighted cross-entropy as the loss function

$$\mathcal{L}_{X|y} = \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}}^{i} -w_{i+} \times y_i log(\bar{y}_i) - w_{i-} \times (1-y_i) log(1-\bar{y}_i)$$

where, $w_{i+} = \frac{|n_{i-}|}{N}; \quad w_{i-} = \frac{|n_{i+}|}{N}$

where $\mathcal{L}_{X|y}$ is the loss term for sample X with the label y, y_i is the ground truth label for disease class-*i* and \bar{y}_i is the predicted likelihood. $|n_{i+}|$ and $|n_{i-}|$ are the total number of positive and negative samples respectively for class-*i* and N is the total number of samples.

Training

- Model pre-trained on ImageNet dataset
- \Box Epochs = 20
- \Box Batch size = 32
- Learning rate = 10⁻⁴ reduced by a factor of
 10 at plateau
- **Δ** Adam with $β_1 = 0.9$, $β_2 = 0.999$

Receiver Operating Characteristics Curve



- Mean AUC = 0.86
- Best performing labels:
 - Consolidation: 0.93
 - Pleural Effusion: 0.93
 - **Edema: 0.93**
 - Opacity: 0.91

Comparison

10

Table : Comparison with the state-of-art method on CheX-pert dataset.

Disease	Allaouzi	Our
label	et al.*	Implementation
Atelectasis	0.72	0.81
Cardiomegaly	0.88	0.84
Consolidation	0.77	0.93
Edema	0.87	0.93
Lung Opacity	0.76	0.91
Pneumonia	0.79	0.76
Pneumothorax	0.86	0.80
Pleural Effusion	0.90	0.93

Disease Level Colormaps

Disease-level colormaps generated to visualize the location of predicted diseases

$$\mathcal{C}_{i|I(x,y)} = \sum_{m} \omega_i^m \times \mathcal{X}_m(x,y)$$

- $\Box \quad C_{i|I(x,y)} = i^{th} \text{ disease-level colormap for image } I(x, y)$
- \Box X_m(x,y) = m-th feature map of the last convolutional layer
- w_i^m = weight term corresponding to class-i for the m-th feature map at the last classification layer

Disease Level Colormaps

12



The original X-ray image for a patient with ground truth label of Cardiomegaly

Generated Cardiomegaly colormap showing area indicative of the disease.

Disease Level Colormaps

13



The original X-ray image for a patient with ground truth label of pleural effusion and lung opacity

Generated Pleural Effusion colormap showing area indicative of the disease.

Generated Lung Opacity colormap showing area indicative of the disease.

Large Scale Image Retrieval : Framework



14

15

Large Scale Image Retrieval : Graph Generation

- 1024-dimensional features from the deep learning network
- Graph generation by defining images as nodes
- Edge generation by cosine similarity between imagerepresentative features



16

Large Scale Image Retrieval : Image Community Formation

- Image community formation with a modularity-based approach
- Maximizing modularity for large graphs* to find optimum partition



Large Scale Image Retrieval : Top-N Image Retrieval Given A Query Image

- Query Image placement in the network as a node
- Edge generation by similarity
- Region growing approach by maximizing weighted modularity* to find top-N most similar images

$$Q_w = \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i q_i = \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i (e_{ii} - a_i^2)$$

where
$$\lambda_i = 1 + \frac{2l_i}{n_i(n_i - 1)}$$

 e_{ii} is the fraction of edges within community *i*

 a_i is the fraction of edges with at least one end in community-*i*

 l_i is total number of edges within community-*i*

 n_i is the total number of nodes within community-*i*



Retrieval Performance

18

DataSet	ACG	Precision
CXR- five most prevalent disease labels (atelectasis, cardiomegaly, edema, consolidation, pleural effusion)	0.65	78%
CXR- all labels	0.65	73%

Precision = #relevant images #retrieved images

ACG = sum of graded relevance #retrieved images

Conclusion

- Implemented a framework to predict common thoracis diseases from their radiographs
- Generated disease-level colormaps overlapped on chest radiographs to highlight the area that should be paid more attention to while generating the radiology reports
- Implemented a large-scale image retrieval framework based solely on the radiographs combining a graph-clustering technique to the deep learning network



Questions?