



#### Simulation of Infrastructure Interdependencies Dynamics for Disaster Response Coordination UBC – I2Sim Team



### JIIRP Canada Project

- PSEPC (Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness Canada)
- NSERC (Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada)



#### **UBC** Team



- Dr. J. R. Martí (Project Leader) Dr. K. Beznosov Dr. J. Jatskevitch Dr. P. Kruchten Dr. K.D. Srivastava Dr. M. Armstrong Dr. J. Hollman (Project Manager) M. DeTao Q. Han L. Liu H. Rahman N. Ozog M. Sotoodeh
- Civil Engineering
  - Dr. C. Ventura H. Juarez
  - K. Thibert

- Computer Science Dr. K. Booth Dr. R. Pottinger Dr. R. Rosenberg
- **Geography** Dr. B. Klinkenberg A. Cervantes

J. Xu

- Sauder School of Business Dr. C. Woo K. Monu
- Simon Fraser University Dr. L. Bartram C. Jiang
- Clinical Psychology
   Dr. G. Poole
   A. Clarkson





### **UBC's Partners**

- British Columbia Transmission Corporation
- BC Hydro
- Telus Corporation
- Greater Vancouver Regional District
- Vancouver International Airport Authority



# Collaborators



- David Grigg (UBC, Infrastructure & Services Planning, Assoc. Director)
- Gord Apperley (UBC Utilities, Director)
- John Manougian (UBC Hospital, Facilities Manager)
- Rick Critchlow (Fire Hall UBC)•
- Sheena Vivian (BCHydro, Emergency Planning Manager)
- Doug Allan (JELC, GVRD, Project Manager)
- W.A.S. (Bill) White (PSEPC) (JELC)
- Gregg Smith (GVRD, Manager Corporate Services)
- Jim Gurney (BCTC, Manager Research and Development)

- Allan Galambos (Ministry of Transp.)
- Sharlie Huffman (Ministry of Transportation)
- Murray Day (JIBC, Director Emergency Management Div.)
  - Jeff Cornell (JIBC)
  - David Zajdlik (UBC Health & Safety Committee)
  - James Whyte (Regional Manager for B.C. PEP)
- Maiclaire Bolton (Emergency Management Analyst – Seismic Hazards B.C. PEP)

Kevin Molloy (YVR, Vice President & Chief Information Officer)

Ivan Kusal (Telus, Director Corporate Business)



#### Our Mandate

#### "Develop innovative solutions to mitigate large disaster situations involving multiple infrastructure systems"



- Towns, municipalities and organizations are often not aware of the (hidden) interdependencies of their critical infrastructure.
- There is no mechanism to determine how their critical infrastructure system will react during an emergency, or what vulnerabilities exist in the system
- They do not have a way of validating and optimizing emergency response plans and decision making related to critical infrastructure

JIIRP-Ottawa-2007



#### Result:

- During an emergency or disaster, unexpected interdependencies appear that can cause emergency response plans to fail sometimes catastrophically.
- Decisions are made that are not optimal. Ie. More lives may have been saved if better decisions had been made.

# Our Contribution: I2Sim –

Critical Infrastructure Interdependencies

Simulator

- I2Sim allows users to model the critical infrastructure and the associated interdependencies of a town, municipality or organization
- A emergency event can be simulated and a full simulation executed to see the effects on critical infrastructure
- The simulator can model the effect of decisions made by emergency management command and control
- Different scenarios can be executed in order to select the best course of action for emergency response planning or execution
- Simulations can be connected together to model interdependencies between larger entities (eg. All of BC, Canada)



- Result:
  - Municipalities and organizations can validate and test their critical infrastructure and optimize emergency response plans.
  - CI Simulations can be "grown" to provincial or national level.

#### Complex Interdependent Multilayered Networks









JIIRP-Ottawa-2007

**UBC** Team



### I2Sim Ontology

# Ontology



- From Greek ??, genitive ??t??: of being (part. of e??a? to be)
- Who are the objects in our world, where are they located, what do they look like at a given moment, how and why they change in time?



# I2Sim Ontology

- Multiple dissimilar systems need to be solved simultaneously
- Only external representation is needed to coordinate decision making across multiple infrastructures

#### I2Sim Model



A complex infrastructure system is made up of basic components:

- 1. Cell (Production Component): For example, a hospital cell requires inputs: electricity, water, doctors, medicines, etc. and produces outputs: patients healed
- 2. Channel (Transportation Component): The electricity to the hospital is carried by wires, the water is carried by pipes, the doctors are carried by the transportation system
- 3. Dispatching (Decisions Component): Determines resource allocation during scarsity







JIIRP-Ottawa-2007





**UBC** Team





- Disasters (e.g., earthquake) affect cell's m values and channel's a and Tau values. They also affect the external sources values
- Psychological Responses (e.g., panic) affect cell's m values and channel's a and Tau values
- Human Processes (e.g., bureaucratic red tape) affect cell's m values and channel's a and Tau values
- Human Decisions determine distributor ratios when resources are limited

#### Indices



- Operability ("m" factor): Assuming full inputs are available, a power substation designed to deliver an output of 200 MW but because of earthquake damage can only deliver 100 MW has m = 0.5
- Resource Availability ("r" factor): Reduced cell output which is not due to reduced cell's performance but to scarcity of resources. For example r = 0.7 means that due to lacking input or reserve resources the cell will only be able to produce 70% of its capacity even though m=1

JIIRP-Ottawa-2007

#### Wellness



 Wellness ("w" factor): Capability of producing output:

w = output\_capable/output\_rated

• Wellness can deteriorate by a reduction in m, a reduction in r, or a reduction in both



#### I2Sim Architecture

# Water Station Cell Human Readable Table (HRT)

Input (x)		Intern	Output (y)	
Power	Water	Pumps	m	Water
100%	100%	2	1.0	100%
100%	100%	1	0.5	50%
50%	100%	2 or 1	0.5-1.0	50%
0%	100%	2 or 1	0.5-1.0	0%
100%	50%	2 or 1	0.5-1.0	50%
100%	0%	2 or 1	0.5-1.0	0%
0%	0%	2 or 1	0.5-1.0	0%

#### Hospital Cell in Winter HRT



Input					output							
xw1	xe1	xs1	xh1	xe2	xs2	doctor s	nurse s	meds	patien ts	Long	Short	Emer gency
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
100%	0%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	80%	80%
100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	0%
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
xw1 = water from the powerhouse to the hospital				xh1 = steam for sterilization of the surgery equipment surgery								
xe1 = power for the Koerner building				xe2 = power for the Purdy building								
xs1 = heating for the Koerner building				xs2 = heating for the Purdy building								
JIIRP-Ottawa-2007 UBC Team						26						

#### I2Sim Cell/Channel (CC) Model from HRT





#### **I2Sim Linearized Cell Model**

 Four-region approximation and corresponding table of input/output relationship:



- (I)  $0.5x_1 + 0.5x_2 = y_1$
- (II)  $0.25x_1 + 0.25x_2 = y_1 0.25$
- (III)  $0.40x_1 + 0.56x_2 = y_1 + 0.06$
- (IV)  $1.355x_1 + 0.75x_2 = y_1 + 1.105$

x1	x2	y1
100%	100%	100%
90%	100%	86%
50%	100%	63%
0%	100%	50%
100%	0%	50%
0%	0%	0%

#### 🐱 I2SIM Interface

#### File Window Help!





#### 🐼 I2SIM Interface

File Window Help!





#### States









#### I2Sim Scenario Play Out



#### Decision Making Scenario Level 1



	A			Real World			
Alternativ		native ons		No Action (Sim)			
A, B = decision point $Decision A$	ts				Action A1 (Sim)		
- Take Action A2 Decision B	n A2 n B1 A	А	I	В	Action A2 (Sim)		
- Take Action B1 Screens at A - Real World					Action B1 (Sim)	No Action (Sim	
<ul> <li>No Action (Sim)</li> <li>Action A1 (Sim)</li> <li>Action A2 (Sim)</li> </ul>					Action B2 (Sim)		
JIIRP-Ottawa-2007			UBC	Tean	n	·	

#### Decision Making Scenario Level 2





For Level 2, Level 1 decision A has already been taken and Level 2 proceeds from there. The combined results of multiple Level 2 decisions will create a new real-world picture which will prompt Level 1 decision B. Level 2 decisions will be the starting point for Level 3 decisions, etc.




#### **System of Systems**





#### Level 2 Coordination Power System Control Centre



#### **Power System Control Centre**





Level 2 Coordination Water System Control Centre

#### Water System Control Centre





## Simulation vs Reality

- "Before the battle is joined, plans are everything, but once the shooting begins, plans are worthless." (Dwight D. Eisenhower during WWII)
- I2Sim merges together planed scenarios, simulation, and reality in a very fast realtime platform



#### Jurisdictions







## **Global CC Models Sharing**





JIIRP-Ottawa-2007

**UBC** Team



## I2Sim Mathematical Solution



## Interdependencies Matrix

## $[\mathbf{T}][\mathbf{x}] = [\mathbf{w}]$

- [x] = resources; [w] = sources
- [T] = Interdependencies Matrix; built from cell's m factors and channel's (a, Tau) factors
- Interdependencies Analysis
- Sensitivity Analysis
- Dynamic Trajectories Analysis



## Interdependencies Matrix UBC Campus Case





## Real-Time Performance

- I2Sim solves a piece-wise linear system between Delta-t steps. This allows for solution speeds 1,000 to 10,000 times faster than standard (nonlinear) simulators
- I2Sim can solve a system of 3,000 cells with 15 inputs/outputs per cell (45,000 state variables) for a 10 hr scenario with Delta-t = 5 minutes in less than a second of computer time
- Interactive scenario playing is basically instantaneous
- Linear speedup in PC-Clusters (e.g., a cluster with 10 processors makes the solution 10 times faster)



## The Human Layer Psychological Issues I2Psy

### Psychological issues Linked to the Physical Layer: Using our Simulator



- Simulator effectiveness relies on its ability to identify:
  - Infrastructure weaknesses and interdependencies
  - Relief weaknesses and interdependencies
  - Resource allocation
- because these issues predict collective efficacy across social geography they support human capacity to cope and act during disaster

## We Look First at Population-Related Issues

- Large-scale psychological issues
- Those "victimized" by the disaster
- Varying in
  - Vulnerability
    - Access to disaster support and services
    - Beliefs regarding consequences and probability
  - Psychological characteristics



## For Later Consideration

- First Responders
  - Health care professionals
  - Police
  - Firefighters
  - Community workers
  - Volunteers

# Some Psychological Issues

- Coping with serious illness as an analogy
- Analytical Focal Points
  - Key psychological factors that correlate with coping and outcome that
    - Can be operationalized
    - Can be quantified?



## **Perceived Vulnerability**

#### • "Imaginability"

• "...it is necessary to examine the assumptions of everyday life and the effects of having these assumptions shattered" (Brown & Neal, 2001)



JIIRP-Ottawa-2007

**UBC** Team





## **Collective Efficacy**

- The "shared belief that a group can effectively meet environmental demands and improve their lives through concerted effort" (Benight, 2004)
  - Communitas the momentary upsurge in collective unity and spirit associated with certain ritual events and social crises



#### Vulnerable Populations Loop



### The Psychology of Evacuation







**UBC** Team



### Panic as a Social Phenomenon

- Elevated by a lack of familiarity with place exacerbating contagion
- Reduced by support given and received
  - If you can't find loved ones, find someone
    - Called the "convergence effect"
      - To help others
      - Evidence of being alive and unaffected
      - Social comparison

### Panic and Affiliation: A Social Attachment Model



- Classic conception: Panic = perceived imminent danger + limited escape options
- Newer conception: location of attachment figures more important than escape options

	Affiliation Present	Affiliation Absent
Threat Low	<ul> <li>Increased attachment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low intensity avoidance of threat</li> </ul>
Threat High	<ul> <li>Increased attachment</li> <li>Orderly</li> <li>flight/evacuation</li> <li>Occasional panic</li> </ul>	•Mass panic — toward the familiar, not always away from danger
2007		



## **UBC Campus Case Study**

#### **UBC Campus Case Study**





Why modeling UBC campus?

- The UBC campus shares many attributes of a small city
  - 47000 daily transitory occupants
  - 10000 full time residents
  - own utilities providers
- Information accessibility
- Good starting point before modeling larger area, such as GVRD

JIIRP-Ottawa-2007

### JIIRP-I2C Team Goals



- Analysis of interdependencies among critical infrastructures
- Develop methodologies of analysis
- Concentrate UBC's infrastructure information in a GIS
- Analyze infrastructure interdependencies
- Contribute to evolve from a *culture of reaction* into a *culture of preparedness*



## The Human Layer: Policy Interdependencies Simulation

#### **Policy Coordination**





#### Policy Making Based on Knowledge: Interdependencies Analysis

Critical missing information Important missing information

Information origin



**UBC** Utilities GVRD BC Hydro distribution Campus external water reservoirs Power House **UBC IT Services** Multi CI Operator Structural & Non structural superposition assessment External water supply **UBC** Campus Mobile Community Planning Wireless beam UBC EOC Emergency capability power & water supply Campus Emergency vs Long term updated maps. Steam production status & elective services (long term patients & Sterilization) PEP and PSEPC Fire hydrant emergency plans **UBC** Hospital Emergency roles Campus access to guide large groups roads of population emergency responders residence Ministry of Transportation Coastal Health Authority Ambulance Services

**UBC** Fire Station



#### Policy Creation Based on Simulation

#### Useful to identify

- weakly coupled inter-agencies policies
- hidden knowledge
- unused resources
- Help to preserve
- institutional memory



## Interdependencies Visualization I2V

## Visualizing Infrastructure Interdependencies in Emergencies

- GIS tools
  - Excellent to visualize snapshots of evolving events
- New Collaborative Visualization tools
  - "What if" scenario playing
  - Time/state
  - (un)certainty of data
  - Novel display environments

#### Campus Networks: GIS





#### UBC Earthquake Damage Assessment



BC31 Mean Damage Factors with Modifiers Intensity VIII - UBC Campus 1:16,000 500 750 1.000 Ν Mean Damage Factor % ------ site-road-dec05 0.0 None 0.1 - 1.0 Slight 1.1 - 10.0 Light 10.1 - 30.0 Moderate 30.1 - 60.0 Heavy 60.1 - 99.9 Major 100.0 Destroyed

BC31 Mean Damage Factors with Modifiers Intensity IX - UBC Campus



BC31 Mean Damage Factors with Modifiers Intensity X - UBC Campus



Mean	Damage Factor
_	- site-road-dec05
	0.0 None
	0.1 - 1.0 Slight
	1.1 - 10.0 Light
	10.1 - 30.0 Moderate
	30.1 - 60.0 Heavy
	60.1 - 99.9 Major
	100.0 Destroyed

1.1 - 10.0 Light 10.1 - 30.0 Moderate

30.1 - 60.0 Heavy

60.1 - 99.9 Major

100.0 Destroyed






#### GIS: Decision Makers Risk Mapping





Structural Assessment (MDF X) & Location of Emergency Decision Makers



JIIRP-Ottawa-2007

74.3 - 100.0





### Summary to Date

# Industry Feedback (26/FEB/07): Issues, Challenges

- Define coherent infrastructures risk tolerance levels from natural disasters by both industry and public
- Standardize processes and policies regarding the protection of CIs
- Standardize the definition of CIs across federal, provincial and local governments
- Standardize CIs prioritization across all levels of government
- Develop common and agreed protocols for the sharing of CIs information during emergency

# Industry Feedback (26/FEB/07): Positive Comments

- Benefits of CC model for data sharing among Ci's (PSEPC, Ministry of Transportation, Telus)
- Data base of simulation scenarios can preserve institutional memory after experts retire (GVRD, UBC Campus Planning)
- Dependency on foreign service providers (YVR)



- 80 hours of assistance from our partners; 2 Industry Workshops
- 37 internal documents; 9 conference papers; 2 Journal Publications
- 13 Researchers; 6 Doctoral Students; 7 Masters Students; 2 Postdoctoral Research Associates
- I2C Website for knowledge dissemination http://www.i2sim.ca
- I2C Wiki for collaborative research



# Continued Developments

- 1. Integration of visualization and decision support systems
- 2. Extensions of simulator and support systems:
  - City of Vancouver
  - City of Richmond
  - Vancouver international airport YVR
  - Vancouver 2010
  - Multi-cities coordination GVRD
- 3. Data exchange standardization
- 4. Multi-layered coordination



#### Seismic Risk Assessment of Vancouver

#### **Building Damage - Preliminary Results**

Fig. 7. Structural damage distribution in Vancouver



Fig. 9. Monetary loss distribution in Vancouver.



**UBC** Team